Bacterial identification using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in positive blood cultures: A pilot study

Smriti Srivastava^{1†}, Akshay Shankar^{2†}, Neha Sharad¹, Aparna Ningombam², Kamran Farooque³, and Purva Mathur²

¹Department of Microbiology, Jai Prakash Narayan Apex Trauma Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India ²Department of Lab Medicine, Jai Prakash Narayan Apex Trauma Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India ³Department of Orthopedics, Jai Prakash Narayan Apex Trauma Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India [†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Background: Early pathogen identification in the bloodstream has long been a key focus for microbiologists and clinicians, given its crucial role in patient management. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry has emerged as a valuable tool for the direct and rapid identification of organisms from positive blood cultures. **Objective:** This study aimed to evaluate the accuracy, productivity, and feasibility of two methods for the rapid detection of bloodstream infections. **Methods:** Two methods were employed in this study: One based on differential centrifugation and the other using a lysis buffer. **Results:** The addition of a lysis buffer, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), to the blood culture broth resulted in the identification of a greater number of microorganisms (*Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Klebsiella pneumonia*). **Conclusion:** The application of SDS into culture broths is user-friendly and can be easily integrated into routine blood culture processing, allowing for species-level identification within hours of a positive BacT/ALERT signal.

Keywords: MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, Blood culture, Bloodstream infection, Rapid diagnosis

1. Introduction

Rapid diagnosis of bloodstream infections (BSIs) is crucial for patient survival and remains a significant focus for microbiologists. The goal is to develop assays with faster turnaround times and higher sensitivity for detection of bacteremia. Over the past few decades, microbiological culturing has advanced toward automated, continuously monitored, signal-based blood culture techniques.¹ Integrating these systems with rapid microbial identification systems, such as matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), can significantly reduce reporting time. Moreover, MALDI-TOF MS is gaining popularity in clinical microbiology laboratories due to its ability to facilitate early identification, ease of operation, and relatively low running costs.² A notable application of MALDI-TOF MS is its ability to identify pathogens directly from positive blood cultures, addressing the traditionally longer reporting time associated with blood culture reporting compared to other samples. Rapid identification of bacteremia is among the most critical microbial diagnostic emergencies.³ Other bacterial identification methods, such as nanoparticle enrichment on bacterial cells through MALDI-TOF MS and biochips for bacterial cell analysis, can also be used for pathogen identification.⁴⁻⁶ However, there are two key

considerations when identifying organisms in positive blood cultures using MALDI-TOF MS. First, the limit of detection is 10⁵ colony-forming units (CFU)/spot for bacteria and 10⁴ CFU/spot for yeast, which requires concentrating the bacteria or yeast from samples.^{7,8} Second, various components in the blood culture broth, such as blood cells and the culture media *per se*, must be removed using either physical methods (such as differential centrifugation) or alternative methods, such as clot activation or the use of lysis buffer. In this study, we evaluated two different methods used to identify

> *Corresponding author: Purva Mathur (purvamathur@yahoo.co.in)

This is an open-access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 Journal of Biological Methods published by POL Scientific

Submitted: 20 November 2024; Revision received: 25 December 2024; Accepted: 14 February 2025; Published: 12 March 2025

How to cite this article: Srivastava S, Shankar A, Sharad N, Ningombam A, Farooque K, Mathur P. Bacterial identification using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in positive blood cultures: A pilot study. *J Biol Methods*. 2025:e99010058. DOI:10.14440/jbm.2025.0119

pathogens directly from blood samples using MALDI-TOF MS. We explored their effectiveness in the rapid detection of BSIs, discussed the integration of MALDI-TOF MS into the blood culture workflow, and highlighted the promising array of pathogens identified.

2. Materials and methods

The study was conducted at the Microbiology Unit of a Level-1 Trauma Center in a northern Indian tertiary hospital. Trauma care hospitals are dynamic emergency centers primarily treating young trauma victims, and the majority of BSIs in these patients are healthcare-associated. In our facility, blood culturing is performed using the BacT/Alert (BioMérieux, France) culture system. Isolate identification follows the subculture of signal-positive bottles onto blood agar (ready-to-use plates procured from BD, USA) and MacConkey agar (BD, USA), with subsequent isolated pathogen identification using Vitek-2 (BioMérieux, France) and/or MALDI-TOFMS (Vitek MS, BioMérieux, France). Preliminary Gram-stain findings from positive blood culture bottles are promptly communicated; however, the turnaround time for final culture reports is 3 days.

In this study, we evaluated two different protocols for the identification of organisms directly from a signal-positive bottle using MALDI-TOF MS.^{9,10} Initially, we explored three methods: (i) differential centrifugation, (ii) lysis by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, India), and (ii) the use of a clot activator tube. However, the clot-activator tube method resulted in more failures and required a larger broth volume, leading to its discontinuation. Consequently, we proceeded to evaluate the remaining two methods (Method 1 and Method 2).

2.1. Method 1: Differential centrifugation method

Two mL of broth was drawn from the positive-flagged blood culture bottles into 2 mL centrifuge tubes (Eppendorf, Germany). The first centrifugation was performed at $400 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was collected, and the cellular debris was discarded. The second centrifugation was conducted at $17,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The resulting pellet was washed twice with 1.5 mL of distilled water $(17,000 \times \text{g for 3 min})$ to further remove blood components from the bacterial pellet. After drying the pellet for 10 min at room temperature, approximately 50 µL of semi-solid pellet was obtained. A volume of 1 µL of this pellet was then inoculated onto a Vitek MS (BioMérieux, France), followed by sequential overlaying with 0.5 mL of formic acid and 1 μ L of matrix solution (α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid [CHCA]). Both formic acid and CHCA were procured ready-to-use from BioMérieux (France). After complete drying, the slide was inserted into the instrument. Vitek MS uses a standard strain of Escherichia coli (ATCC® 8739™) as an internal standard, applied at the central well among the set of 16 wells. The test culture was applied uniformly onto the wells in duplicate. After scanning the slide using the barcode scanner provided with the instrument, the slide was inserted into the instrument slot. The slide was then interrogated using a fixed focus, 337 nm nitrogen laser at the rate of 50 laser shots per second. Proteins were detected on a sensor, and a spectrum was generated, which was classified using a proprietary advanced classifier. These spectra were then compared to the database to identify genera and species.

2.2. Method 2: Lysis using 10% SDS

Two mL of broth was drawn from the positive blood culture bottle, with 1.8 mL transferred to a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube, followed by the addition of 200 µL of 10% SDS solution. The resulting mixture was vortexed for approximately 10 s and then incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Next, centrifugation was performed at $17,000 \times g$ for 5 min. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in distilled water before undergoing another centrifugation at $17,000 \times g$ for 3 min. The supernatant was again discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 2 mL of 70 % ethanol, followed by a final centrifugation for 3 min at $17,000 \times g$. The pellet was dried for 10 min at room temperature. From the resulting semi-solid pellet of approximately 50 μ L, 1 μ L was then applied for MALDI-TOF MS analysis, following the same procedure as in Method 1 (Section 2.1.). Both methods are outlined in Figure 1.

The identification results from both methods were compared to the standard procedure in our laboratory, which involves growth on solid media followed by identification of isolates using MALDI-TOF MS. Growth on solid media such as blood agar was used. An isolated colony was selected and carefully applied to the well on the Vitek MS slide, followed by the addition of a matrix, replicating the Vitek MS process.

Before the commencement of the study, the operators were thoroughly briefed on the procedures. For this purpose, a blood culture bottle was seeded with 10 CFU of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and incubated in the BacT/Alert system. Once the bottle was flagged positive by the system, it was used for testing with both methods. However, our primary aim was to evaluate the performance of both methods in real clinical scenarios, where pre-analytical variables, such as organism load in patient samples, blood volume, and duration before incubation, may differ from controlled laboratory conditions. Hence, prefabricated samples were not used further in the study.

2.3. Statistical analysis

GraphPad (GraphPad Software Inc) and MS Excel (Microsoft Inc.) were used for statistical analysis. Categorical variables were presented as numbers (%) and compared by the χ^2 test.



Figure 1. Methodological flowchart. Microbial identification from Method 1 (left) and Method 2 (right) for comparative study Abbreviation: SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate.

3. Results

A total of 20 patient samples were subjected to both protocols, and the results are shown in Table 1. The data presented in the table are pre-clinical. The agreement between Method 1 or Method 2 and the standard protocol was found to be 50.0% and 80.0%, respectively (χ^2 [1, n = 20] = 2.7473, *p* = 0.097422). No incorrect identification was found.

The spectral output from both methods differed, regardless of the result. Method 1 demonstrated a lower intensity of mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) peaks around 6,000 - 8,000 Daltons, whereas Method 2 exhibited a higher intensity of m/z peaks around 2,000 - 3,000 Daltons, as shown in Figure 2.

We compared the ease of performing the two methods between two operators by asking them to rate each step as "easy," "not so easy," and "difficult/tedious," assigning difficulty scores of 1, 2, and 3, respectively (with the best possible score being 4). This evaluation was based on four parameters: Ease of withdrawing blood from the bottle, number of centrifugation steps, supernatant collection, and pellet re-suspension after discarding the supernatant. These parameters were graded on a scale of 1 (easy), 2 (not so easy), and 3 (difficult/tedious) by two operators who performed the methods independently. A comparison of these aspects for both methods is summarized in Table 2.



Figure 2. Mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) spectra from both methods. (A) Method 1 demonstrates m/z peaks around 6,000 - 8,000 Daltons, while (B) Method 2 presents m/z peaks around 2,000 - 3,000 Daltons

| Table 1. | Direct | identification | methods | versus | standard |
|----------|---------|----------------|---------|--------|----------|
| laborato | ry proc | edure | | | |

| Sample no. | Identification using Method 1 | Identification using Method 2 | Identification after growth in culture (standard protocol) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Yes | Yes | A. baumannii |
| 2 | Yes | No | K. pneumonia |
| 3 | No | Yes | A. baumannii |
| 4 | No | No | S. maltophila |
| 5 | Yes | No | K. pneumonia |
| 6 | No | Yes | Salmonella enteric subsp. enterica |
| 7 | No | No | P. stuartii |
| 8 | Yes | Yes | P. stuartii |
| 9 | No | Yes | A. baumannii |
| 10 | Yes | Yes | P. aeruginosa |
| 11 | No | Yes | K. pneumonia |
| 12 | Yes | Yes | P. aeruginosa |
| 13 | Yes | Yes | S. maltophila |
| 14 | Yes | Yes | Serratia marcescens |
| 15 | No | Yes | K. pneumonia e |
| 16 | No | Yes | Staphylococcus hominis |
| 17 | Yes | Yes | K. pneumonia e |
| 18 | No | Yes | Alcaligenes faecalis |
| 19 | No | Yes | Staphylococcus aureus |
| 20 | Yes | Yes | K. pneumonia |

Abbreviations: A. baumannii: Acinetobacter baumannii; K. pneumonia: Klebsiella pneumonia; S. maltophila: Stenotrophomonas maltophila; P. stuartii: Providencia stuartii; P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Since March 2023, we have incorporated direct MALDI-TOF MS from positive blood culture bottles into our routine sample processing protocol. This allows results to be communicated to the treating physician, enabling modifications to antibiotic therapy, if needed, based on our 6-monthly antibiograms. Further refinement is achieved through preliminary antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) directly from broth, followed by a final AST from growth on solid media, as illustrated in Figure 3. Using this protocol, we identified a number of organisms (n = 56), including some pathogens with fastidious requirements, such as *Brucella spp.*, and critical pathogens, such as *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Candida spp*. A list of these prominent pathogens and their associated antimicrobial resistance profiles is provided in Table 3.

The remaining organisms consisted of coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*(n=5), *Mycobacteriumgenavense*(n=2), *Enterobacter cloacae complex*, *Providencia stuartii*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Achromobacterxylosoxidans*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Brucella* spp., *L. monocytogenes*, and *Candida tropicalis* (n = 1 for each organism).

4. Discussion

Direct identification of organisms from blood culture bottles positively flagged by automated continuous monitoring

Table 2. Comparison between the two methods

| Parameters | Method 1 | | Method 2 | |
|--|--|------------|--|------------|
| Time taken ^a | 35 – 40 min | | 30 – 35 min | |
| Ease of performing | Operator 1 | Operator 2 | Operator 1 | Operator 2 |
| Withdrawing blood from the bottle | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Too many centrifugation steps | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Collecting the supernatant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Resuspending the pellet after discarding the supernatant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Overall score | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Additional consumables required | Syringe and needle -2 mL, 2 mL centrifuge tubes (2), formic acid (0.5 μ L), and CHCA (1 μ L) | | Syringe and needle -2 mL , 2 mL centrifuge tubes (1), absolute ethanol (2 ml), formic acid (0.5 μ L), CHCA (1 μ L), and SDS ^b (200 μ L) | |

Notes: ^aTime taken does not include the run time for MALDI-TOF MS, which also depends on other wells on the slide. ^bThis is equivalent to 20 mg SDS per reaction. Abbreviations: CHCA:α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid; MALDI-TOF MS: Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry; SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate.

| Table 3. Antimicrobial resistan | e profile of the | predominant | pathogens |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|

| Organism | No. | Antimicrobial resistance profile ^a | | |
|-------------------------|-----|---|--------------------------|---|
| | | Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases/3 rd gen. cephalosporin resistance | Carbapenem resistance | Empirical treatment |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 16 | 100% (12/12) | 83.3% (10/12) | Drug combinations containing polymyxins or aztreonam |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 13 | 91.66% (11/12) | 83.3 (10/12) | Drug combinations containing polymyxins |
| Acinetobacter baumannii | 9 | 100% (7/7) | 100% (7/7) | Drug combinations containing sulbactam, minocycline, or tigecycline |
| Klebsiella oxytoca | 2 | 0 | 0 | Cephalosporins |

Note: "Antibiotic susceptibility tests were only performed on fresh isolates.



Figure 3. Blood-culture workflow for microbial identification to antibiotic susceptibility test

Abbreviations: AST: Antibiotic susceptibility test; BA: Blood agar; ID: Identification; Mac: MacConkey agar; MALDI-TOF MS: Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry.

systems, such as BACTEC (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, United States) and BacT/ALERT (BioMérieux, France), has been in use for over a decade. Both commercially available kits and in-house protocols have been evaluated by clinical microbiology laboratories.¹¹⁻¹³ At present, MALDI- TOF MS is gaining acceptance, and further applications to reduce identification time need to be implemented. This reduction in time would be particularly helpful for the early treatment of sepsis, where appropriate antimicrobial therapy can be lifesaving.^{14,15} Once pathogen identification is complete,

empirical therapy can be tailored based on local antibiograms. As we move toward infectious diagnostic stewardship alongside antimicrobial stewardship, test results should guide patient management toward precise antimicrobial therapy within a short timeframe. In pursuit of this goal, in 2019, the Indian Council of Medical Research established an Essential Diagnostic Test List for various levels of Indian health-care settings.^{16,17} The list outlines the scope of investigations in different tiers of laboratories. Tertiary-level institutes, such as ours, bear the responsibility of formulating and implementing new or experimental protocols.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Table 3 highlights how timely pathogen identification can optimize antimicrobial therapy, especially in centers with high antibiotic resistance. For instance, at our referral trauma center, the response to commonly used antibiotics is often low, requiring careful treatment based on both acquired and intrinsic bacterial resistance profiles. In addition, several pathogens, such as Mycobacteria, Brucella, and Candida, which are difficult to identify and treat, take longer time to identify using conventional protocols. While growth on solid media has numerous advantages, detecting these microbes directly from blood culture broth significantly reduces the time in emergency situations such as sepsis.

To reduce the diagnostic delays, we needed a robust, easyto-perform, and sensitive method for analyzing blood cultures from critically ill patients. Initially, we evaluated three methods, with the third involving the use of a clot activator tube.²¹ However, this method resulted in more acquisition failures and required a larger broth volume, making it inconvenient compared to the other methods. Consequently, we proceeded with the remaining two methods, ultimately implementing the lysis-buffer method. The application of SDS has shown superior results in other studies. For example, a study reported 100% concordant identification to the species level in blood culture bottles spiked with Candida.²² SDS is an anionic detergent that binds to proteins with high affinity and is commonly employed for cell lysis and protein extraction.²³ This facilitates better lysis of erythrocytes and the formation of a bacterial pellet free from non-bacterial cellular debris, resulting in fewer acquisition failures. This was apparent from the reduced intensity of m/z peaks around 6,000 - 8,000 Daltons, which are also associated with hemoglobin, low-molecular-weight serum proteins, and casein peptones from the broth.24,25 We successfully integrated this method into routine practice, thereby shortening the turnaround time for diagnosing BSIs.

5. Conclusion

The application of SDS-lysis to blood culture broth facilitates the accurate identification of bacterial pathogens, rendering it suitable to be incorporated into routine diagnostic microbiology laboratories. This procedure significantly reduces the time required for species-level identification by bypassing the need for growth on solid media. The clinical prospects are substantial, and microbiology laboratories employing MALDI-TOF MS should adopt a protocol that is feasible for their specific settings.

A limitation of this study is that it primarily focused on the implementation of the new protocol. Further data are needed to assess how the laboratory outcomes translate into improved patient care. This remains an ongoing long-term objective, aiming to quantify the eventual benefits in patient outcomes.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to the members of our laboratory team, Mr. Pramod, Mr. Anil, and Ms. Rajrani, for their flawless bacteriological processing and Ms. Seema and Ms. Nishu for meticulously processing the blood cultures. We are deeply grateful to Ms. Rezi for securely compiling and maintaining the data.

Funding

None.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar Data curation: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar, Neha Sharad, Kamran Farooque, Purva Mathur Formal analysis: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar, Neha Sharad, Aparna Ningombam Investigation: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar Methodology: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar Resources: All authors Supervision: Aparna Ningombam, Kamran Farooque, Purva Mathur Validation: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar Visualisation: Neha Sharad, Aparna Ningombam Writing – original draft: Smriti Srivastava, Akshay Shankar Writing – review & editing: All authors

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Data will be made available on request.

References

- Wilson ML. Development of new methods for detecting bloodstream pathogens. *CMI*. 2020;26(3):319-324. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.08.002
- Van Belkum A, Welker M, Pincus D, Charrier JP, Girard V. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in clinical microbiology: What are the current issues?. *Ann Lab Med*. 2017,37(6):475. doi: 10.3343/alm.2017.37.6.475
- Qiao L. MALDI-TOF MS for pathogenic bacteria analysis. Int J Mass Spectrom. 2022;482:116935. doi: 10.1016/j.ijms.2022.116935
- Hasan N, Guo Z, Wu HF. Large protein analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* by MALDI TOF mass spectrometry using amoxicillin functionalized magnetic nanoparticles. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2016;408:6269-6281. doi: 10.1007/s00216-016-9730-6
- Hasan N, Gopal J, Wu HF. Surface pretreatment effects on titanium chips for the adhesion of pathogenic bacteria in the MALDI-TOF MS. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2014;314:52-63. doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2014.06.045
- Templier V, Livache T, Boisset S, *et al.* Biochips for direct detection and identification of bacteria in blood culture-like conditions. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):9457. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10072-z
- Bhuyan S, Yadav M, Giri SJ, *et al.* Microliter spotting and micro-colony observation: A rapid and simple approach for counting bacterial colony forming units. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2023;207:106707.

doi: 10.1101/2022.01.26.477842

- Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ reviews/k124067.pdf [Last accessed on 2024 Dec 20].
- Sharma M, Gautam V, Mahajan M, Rana S, Majumdar M, Ray P. Direct identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) from positive blood culture bottles: An opportunity to customize growth conditions for fastidious organisms causing bloodstream infections. *Indian Med Res J.* 2017;146(4):541-544. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_823_16
- Tanner H, Evans JT, Gossain S, Hussain A. Evaluation of three sample preparation methods for the direct identification of bacteria in positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;10:1-8.

doi: 10.1186/s13104-016-2366-y

 Buchan BW, Riebe KM, Ledeboer NA. Comparison of the MALDI Biotyper system using Sepsityper specimen processing to routine microbiological methods for identification of bacteria from positive blood culture bottles. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(2):346-352.

doi: 10.1128/jcm.05021-11

 Tsuchida S, Umemura H, Nakayama T. Current status of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) in clinical diagnostic microbiology. *Molecules*. 2020;25(20):4775. doi: 10.3390/molecules25204775

- 13. Perše G, Samošćanec I, Bošnjak Z, Budimir A, Kuliš T, Mareković I. Sepsityper[®] kit versus in-house method in rapid identification of bacteria from positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Life*. 2022;12(11):1744. doi: 10.3390/life12111744
- Papagiannopoulou C, Parchen R, Rubbens P, Waegeman W. Fast pathogen identification using single-cell matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-aerosol time-of-flight mass spectrometry data and deep learning methods. *Anal Chem.* 2020;92(11):7523-7531. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b05806
- 15. Ghosh AK, Paul S, Sood P, et al. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the rapid identification of yeasts causing bloodstream infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2015;21(4):372-378. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2014.11.009
- 16. World Health Organization. *Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System: Manual for Early Implementation.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- 17. Leenstra T, Tambic A, van de Sande-Bruinsma N, Nahrgang S. *Proof-of-Principle Antimicrobial Resistance Routine Diagnostics Study (PoP-study) Protocol.* Version 1.1; 2016.
- 18. Indian Council of Medical Research. National Essential Diagnostics List. New Delhi: ICMR; 2019.
- 19. World Health Organization. *Diagnostic Stewardship: A Guide* to Implementation in Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Sites (No. WHO/DGO/AMR/2016.3). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
- 20. Dik JW, Poelman R, Friedrich AW, *et al.* An integrated stewardship model: antimicrobial, infection prevention and diagnostic (AID). *Future Microbiol.* 2016;11(1):93-102. doi: 10.2217/fmb.15.99
- 21. Azrad M, Keness Y, Nitzan O, *et al.* Cheap and rapid in-house method for direct identification of positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF MS technology. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019;19: 1-7. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-3709-9
- 22. Marinach-Patrice C, Fekkar A, Atanasova R, *et al.* Rapid species diagnosis for invasive candidiasis using mass spectrometry. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(1):e8862. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008862
- Shehadul Islam M, Aryasomayajula A, Selvaganapathy PR. A review on macroscale and microscale cell lysis methods. *Micromachines*. 2017;8(3):83. doi: 10.3390/mi8030083
- 24. Das L, Murthy V, Varma AK. Comprehensive analysis of low molecular weight serum proteome enrichment for mass spectrometric studies. ACS Omega. 2020;5(44):28877-28888. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.0c04568
- 25. Davami F, Eghbalpour F, Nematollahi L, Barkhordari F, Mahboudi F. Effects of peptone supplementation in different culture media on growth, metabolic pathway and productivity of CHO DG44 cells; a new insight into amino acid profiles. *Iran Biomed J.* 2015;19(4):194. doi: 10.7508/ibj.2015.04.002



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)